

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,925	12/30/2003	Young-Joo Yee	2080-3-214	1919	
75	590 09/28/2005		EXAM	INER	
JONATHAN Y. KANG, ESQ. LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA 14th Floor 801 S. Figueroa Street			SONG, SARAH U		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874		
Los Angeles, C	CA 90017		DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,925	YEE, YOUNG-JOO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarah Song	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
•	 action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	i
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/a		objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			l).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	at received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies fic	r received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	 ·	

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, drawn to an optical resonator, classified in class 385, subclass 31.
- II. Claims 16-20, drawn to a method of making an optical resonator, classified in class 216, subclass 24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by milling.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with George Luckhardt on September 23, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-
- 15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 2874

Priority

5. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 11, lines 8 and 11, Examiner believes that "23" should be -27-, see Figure 7.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 7. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner suggests deletion of "to" from line 5. Appropriate correction is required.
- 8. Claims 9 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner suggests amending the claim to depend from claim 7 in order to provide proper antecedent basis for "the movable part", "the electrostatic force" and "the fixed part". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flanders et al. (U.S. Patent 6,768,756).

- 11. Regarding claims 1 and 6, Flanders et al. discloses a lower substrate 112; a plane mirror formed at one surface of the lower substrate 112; an upper substrate 110 coupled to the lower substrate with a certain gap; a concave mirror 250 formed at one surface of the upper substrate for forming a resonance cavity of a hemispherical shape with the plane mirror; and a micro actuating means for controlling a gap of the resonance cavity.
- 12. Flanders et al. does not expressly disclose the substrate 112 to be transparent for light penetration.
- 13. Flanders et al. does disclose that the curved reflector may be used as a back reflector (column 4, lines 44-46). Therefore, One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the need to provide the substrate 112 as a transparent substrate for light penetration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a transparent lower substrate for the purpose of providing a back reflecting resonator, as suggested by Flanders et al.
- 14. Regarding claim 7, the upper substrate comprises a fixed frame 212 coupled to an edge of one surface of the lower substrate; a movable part 218 disposed in the fixed frame and having the concave mirror at one surface thereof; and a plurality of elastic supporting elements 220 for making the movable part be elastically supported at the fixed frame.
- 15. Regarding claim 8, Flanders et al. discloses an electrostatic actuating means (claim 8), but does not expressly disclose the micro actuating means comprising a first electrode formed at one surface of the movable part that the concave mirror is formed, a second electrode formed at the lower substrate to face the first electrode with a certain gap; and a voltage source. However, such micro actuating means are well known with the art of MEMS devices. Therefore, it would

Art Unit: 2874

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the any well known MEMS actuating means, including a first and second electrode, and voltage source as claimed since Applicant has not disclosed that the particular actuating means solves any problem or is for any particular purpose, and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any known MEMS actuator.

- 16. Regarding claims 9 and 14, Flanders et al. discloses wherein the plurality of elastic supporting elements are symmetrically formed as a radial shape between the fixed frame and the movable pad so that the concave mirror can be moved in a vertical direction (column 3, lines 61-63). Furthermore, it is noted that a position of the concave mirror is a position where a restoration force of the plurality of elastic supporting elements which is increased in proportion to a displacement of the movable part becomes equal to said electrostatic force.
- 17. Regarding claims 2 and 10, Flanders et al. does not expressly disclose the curvature radius of the concave mirror is larger than a distance between the concave mirror and the plane mirror. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the curvature radius to be larger in order to ensure proper coupling between the reflective facets.
- 18. Regarding claims 3 and 11, the concave mirror is formed by stacking hetero dielectric thin film layers having different refractive indexes (column 4, lines 9-11).
- 19. Regarding claims 4 and 12, Flanders et al. does not expressly disclose the plane mirror to be a semitransparent mirror formed stacking hetero dielectric thin film layers having different refractive indexes. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the plane mirror in the same manner as the

Art Unit: 2874

concave mirror in order to simplify manufacture. It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a semitransparent mirror for operation of the resonator in a back reflection mode, as discussed previously.

- 20. Regarding claims 5 and 13, Flanders et al. does not expressly disclose an AR layer on one surface of the lower substrate onto which incident light is made to be incident. AR layers on incident facets of an optical resonator are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an AR layer on the one surface of the lower substrate in order to maximize the amount of light coupled into the resonator.
- 21. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flanders et al. in view of Jian (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0002809).
- 22. Regarding claim 15, Flanders et al. discloses a lower substrate 112; a plane mirror formed at one surface of the lower substrate 112; an upper substrate 110 coupled to the lower substrate with a certain gap; a concave mirror 250 formed at one surface of the upper substrate for forming a resonance cavity of a hemispherical shape with the plane mirror; and a micro actuating means for controlling a gap of the resonance cavity.
- 23. Flanders et al. does not expressly disclose the substrate 112 to be transparent for light penetration.
- 24. Flanders et al. does disclose that the curved reflector may be used as a back reflector (column 4, lines 44-46). Therefore, One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the need to provide the substrate 112 as a transparent substrate for light penetration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to provide a transparent lower substrate for the purpose of providing a back reflecting resonator, as suggested by Flanders et al.

- 25. Flanders et al. also does not expressly disclose an input optical fiber, an output optical fiber, an optical fiber alignment/assembly unit and a lens disposed between the lower substrate and the optical fiber alignment/assembly unit.
- 26. Jian discloses an optical filter comprising a tunable FP resonator 1161, an input optical fiber, an output optical fiber, an optical fiber alignment/assembly unit and a lens.
- 27. Jian is analogous art as pertaining to tunable optical resonators.
- 28. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the resonator of Flanders et al. to additionally comprise the input fiber, output fiber, optical fiber alignment/assembly unit and lens of Jian.
- 29. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification in order to provide the tunable resonator of Flanders for use in optical fiber communications for signal processing, filtering, etc.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah Song whose telephone number is 571-272-2359. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 571-272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2874

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sarah Song

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2874